



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0061	<b>Title:</b>	Public school consideration in impact fees
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Hawks, Bob	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget        | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

**Description of fiscal impact:** There is no fiscal impact to the state related to SB 61.

### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **Assumptions:**

This bill has no fiscal impact to the state.

#### **Technical Notes:**

1. This bill would allow a county to collect impact fees to benefit schools from new development. There may be significant costs to counties associated with establishing impact fees.
2. Section 3 of this bill strikes the word "not" from section 76-3-510, MCA. This will allow governing bodies to impose the costs of extension of capital facilities for schools on new subdivisions. This could decrease the burden on local taxpayers to bear this cost.

*Sponsor's Initials*

*Date*

*Budget Director's Initials*

*Date*